
APPLICATION NO.	P11/E2025
APPLICATION TYPE	FULL
REGISTERED	9.12.2011
PARISH	THAME
WARD MEMBER(S)	Mr David Dodds Mrs Ann Midwinter
APPLICANT	Mr Chris Connelly
SITE	11 Oxford Road Thame, OX9 2AG
PROPOSAL	Erection of detached two-bedroom bungalow with parking, and parking/access to existing two-bedroom bungalow.
AMENDMENTS	None
GRID REFERENCE	469909/205957
OFFICER	Mrs H.E.Moore

1.0 **INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 The application is referred to Planning Committee because the Officer recommendation differs from the Town Council's views.
- 1.2 The site lies within the main confines of Thame, adjacent to the conservation area. The existing property on the site comprises a single storey dwelling constructed in the 1960's in brick and tile. It has a single detached garage constructed in similar materials.

2.0 **PROPOSAL**

- 2.1 The proposal is to demolish the existing garage and to construct a new 2 bed detached dwelling in brick and tile. The existing access would be used to serve the new property, and a new access is proposed to serve the existing property.
- 2.2 A Design and Access statement accompanies the application and an additional agent letter has been received in support of the proposal. The statements rehearse the planning history of the site and the relevant planning policies. The agent advises that whilst planning permission has been refused for the erection of dwellings on this site previously, in his view the reasons for refusal have been overcome in this scheme. For example, a larger gap between the existing and the proposed dwellings has been provided such that, in his view, the development would not result in a cramped form of development. A garage is not now proposed which would have the effect of leaving the frontage more open. In addition, private garden areas are proposed for the existing and proposed dwellings. Full details of the statements can be viewed on the Council's web site at www.southoxon.gov.uk
- 2.3 A location plan and details of the proposals are **attached** at Appendix 1.

3.0 **SUMMARY OF CONSULTATIONS & REPRESENTATIONS**

- 3.1 Thame Town Council – Objection raised. Comment – 1. Over-development. 2. Traffic generation and parking.

Area Liaison Officer - No objection subject to imposition of conditions requiring no surface water drainage to the highway and provision and retention of parking areas.

Thame Conservation Area Advisory Committee – Objection raised on the grounds of overdevelopment of the site which is adjacent to the conservation area.

County Archaeological Services – No objection raised. If finds occur, the County Archaeologist should be contacted.

Waste Management Officer – Provision should be made on site for the storage of waste and recycling.

Neighbour responses – 1 letter received. No objection in principle provided that –

- The roofline remains below the current property as shown on the submitted drawings
- The windows remain as on the submitted drawings to ensure privacy is retained
- The hedgerows which are in our ownership are retained and not materially reduced in height

4.0 **RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY**

4.1 [P11/E1244/LD](#) - Approved (16/09/2011)

Erection of two detached outbuildings and provision of porous hardsurfacing.

[P97/N0038](#) - Refused (24/02/1997)

Erection of 2 bedroom bungalow and detached garage.

[P95/N0687](#) - Refused (07/02/1996)

Erection of chalet bungalow and garage.

[P94/N0092](#) - Approved (26/04/1994)

Erection of single detached garage.

5.0 **POLICY & GUIDANCE**

5.1 South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2011 policies;

CON7 - Proposals in a conservation area

D1 - Principles of good design

D2 - Safe and secure parking for vehicles and cycles

D3 - Outdoor amenity area

D4 - Reasonable level of privacy for occupiers

D8 – Energy, water and efficient design

D10 – Waste management

EP6 – Surface water management

EP8 - Contaminated land

G6 - Appropriateness of development to its site & surroundings

H4 - Housing sites in towns and larger villages outside Green Belt

T1 - Safe, convenient and adequate highway network for all users

T2 - Unloading, turning and parking for all highway users

PP1 – Delivering Sustainable Development

PPS3 – Housing

South Oxfordshire Design Guide 2008

6.0 **PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS**

6.1 The proposed development would be located within the built-up area of Thame which is a settlement where residential development is acceptable in principle. Development proposals are assessed against the criteria of Policy H4 of the South Oxfordshire Local

Plan. The planning issues that are relevant to this application are:

- Whether the development would result in the loss of an open space or view of public, environmental or ecological value;
- Whether the size and appearance of the proposal would be in keeping with the character and appearance of the surrounding area, adjacent to the conservation area;
- Whether the living conditions of neighbouring residential occupiers would be compromised and the development would provide suitable living conditions for future occupiers;
- Whether the development would result in an unacceptable deficiency of off-street parking spaces for the resultant dwellings or other conditions prejudicial to highway safety; and
- Whether the proposal would incorporate sufficient sustainability and waste management measures.

Loss of open space

- 6.2 Criterion (i) of Policy H4 of the SOLP requires that an important open space of public, environmental or ecological value is not lost, nor an important public view spoilt. The site comprises the side garden of the existing property. Whilst the site is currently open land, in general terms, the overall impression is of a line of properties bordering the Oxford Road. In these circumstances, officers consider that there would be no loss of an important open space and no loss of an important public view. In terms of ecology, there would be no loss of any trees. As such, Officers consider that the development of the site by the erection of a new dwelling would not involve the loss of a valuable public space or trees of amenity value.

Character and appearance

- 6.3 Criteria (ii) and (iii) of Policy H4 of the SOLP seek to ensure that the design, height, scale and materials of the proposed development are in keeping with its surroundings and that the character of the area is not adversely affected. As set out above, planning permission has been refused on two occasions for the erection of a new dwelling on this site. The first proposal in 2005 was for the erection of a larger chalet style property and a garage on the frontage. The second proposal in 2007 was for the erection of a single storey dwelling with a garage on the frontage. The applicant appealed against the 2007 refusal and the appeal was dismissed essentially on the grounds of cramped and unneighbourly development.
- 6.4 The current proposal differs significantly from the refused schemes. The current scheme shows that the conservatory on the side of the existing property has been demolished, and also shows as part of the proposal that the existing garage is proposed for demolition. This has the effect that the separation between the existing and proposed development would be significantly increased to a minimum of 3 metres. The proposal no longer proposes a garage on the front of the site, and together with the demolition of the existing garage, officers are of the view that the proposals would not result in a cramped form of development.
- 6.5 The applicant did submit an application in 2011 showing the erection of two detached outbuildings on the site, of similar dimensions to the new dwelling now proposed. The Council has confirmed that these could be constructed without the grant of planning permission from the Local Planning Authority.
- 6.6 With regard to design, the proposed dwelling is a modest single storey property with a height of only 4m, slightly lower than the existing property. As the site gently rises from front to back, the land at the front of the property would be lowered by some 0.3m and at

the back of the property by some 1m. The design of the proposed dwelling is simple, to be constructed in brick and tile to match adjoining properties.

- 6.7 In view of the above circumstances, officers consider that the proposed design, height, scale and materials of the proposed development are in keeping with its surroundings and that the character of the area, adjacent to the conservation area should not be adversely affected.

Living conditions

- 6.8 Criterion (iv) of Policy H4 of the SOLP requires that there are no overriding amenity objections. In terms of the relationship with adjoining dwellings, the existing property has two windows on the side which includes a bathroom window. The proposed dwelling is sited some distance away from these windows and accordingly should not adversely affect them. The proposed dwelling is single storey, and, due to existing banks and mature hedging, to the side and rear of the plot, the proposed development should not adversely affect the amenity of properties to the rear of the site. Boundary fencing is proposed between the existing and proposed dwellings to provide a private garden area for both properties. Although the remaining garden would be a little smaller than the recommended 100 sq m for a three bed dwelling, it is considered adequate for this modest property. As such, officers consider that the proposals would not adversely affect adjoining properties and would provide adequate amenity areas for the proposed and existing dwellings.

Highways and parking

- 6.9 Criterion (iv) of Policy H4 of the SOLP requires that there are no highway objections. The proposal is to use the existing access to serve the proposed dwelling and to provide parking and turning at the front and side of the property. A new access is proposed to serve the existing property, and two parking spaces are shown at the side. Whilst the Thame Town Council have raised concern about the additional traffic which would be generated by a second dwelling, the Oxfordshire County Highways Officer, having had regard to the site circumstances, has raised no objection to the proposals subject to the imposition of conditions requiring that no surface water from the development is discharged onto the highway, and that the parking and turning areas be provided in accordance with the submitted plans.

Sustainability and waste management

- 6.10 Information submitted with the application confirms the measures that would be put in place to ensure that the new dwelling would meet Code Level 1 of the Code for Sustainable Homes. These include, for example, energy efficient bulbs and appliances, locally sourced materials, windows, doors and walls to meet insulation standards, and space for recycling and waste. In terms of waste and re-cycling collection, there is space at the front of the site to provide a collection point.
- 6.11 Residential use is regarded in PPS23 as a particularly sensitive use to any land contamination. For this reason, PPS23 requires a precautionary approach to be adopted and for the developer to conduct adequate contaminated land investigations to ensure that the land is safe and suitable for the intended use. Accordingly, imposition of such a condition is recommended.

7.0 CONCLUSION

- 7.1 The proposals would comply with the relevant Development Plan Policies, and it is considered that, subject to the attached conditions, the proposed development would not materially harm the living conditions of nearby residents or the character and appearance of the area.

8.0 RECOMMENDATION

8.1 That planning permission be granted subject to imposition of the following conditions:

- 1. Commencement detailed 3 years**
 - 2. Compliance with approved plans**
 - 3. Sample of all materials to be submitted and agreed**
 - 4. Provision of access, parking and turning for existing and proposed dwellings**
 - 5. No surface water to be discharged to highway.**
 - 6. Withdrawal of permitted development rights for extensions.**
 - 7. Dwelling to be constructed from a ground level to achieve the height relationship with the adjoining dwelling, 11 Oxford Road, Thame, as shown on the approved plans.**
 - 8. Retention of hedging along the western and southern boundaries of the site.**
 - 9. Contamination investigation.**
 - 10. Sustainability measures to be incorporated.**
- NB. Contact County Archaeologist if finds of historic interest occur during construction.**

Author: Mrs H Moore
Contact No: 01491 823732
Email Add: planning.east@southandvale.gov.uk